I PERATA.

h i terri di 1 T.E. PRICE

April 16, 1991

THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS MET THIS DATE IN SPECIAL SESSION FOR THE PURPOSE OF A BUDGET REVIEW AND A JOINT MEETING WITH THE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY BOARD AND APALACHEE REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL.

COMMISSIONERS PRESENT:

S. DONNELL WHITFIELD ELLIS MELVIN PACK BOWDEN TRUMAN GRANT

PRESENT AND ACTING:

WILLIE D. WISE, CLERK GAIL O'BRYAN, ADM. ASSISTANT JOE TAYLOR, FINANCE

THE MEETING WAS CALLED TO ORDER BY COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD AND FOLLOWED WITH PRAYER AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG.

COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD OPENED THE MEETING WITH DISCUSSION OF THE LAWSUIT INVOLVING FRANK STONE, PROPERTY APPRAISER, SUING FOR FUNDING OF HIS BUDGET BASED ON DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE APPROVAL.

\$150,496.00 -- PROPERTY APPRAISER BUDGET APPROVED BY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS. \$170,896.00 -- PROPERTY APPRAISER BUDGET APPROVED BY DEPT. OF REVENUE.

CLERK WISE RECOMMENDED, DUE TO THE MONETARY RESTRAINTS OF THE COUNTY, THAT THE BOARD NOT PAY ANY ATTORNEY FEES FOR DEFENDING OF THIS SUIT. HE RECOMMENDED THAT CHAIRMAN WHITFIELD REPRESENT THE BOARD IN THE SUIT. HE RELAYED HE DEFINITELY WAS GOING TO ANSWER HIS PORTION OF THE SUIT.

COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD EXPLAINED THAT DURING THE LAST BUDGET HEARINGS FOR THIS FY, MR. STONE AND THE OTHER ELECTED OFFICIALS PRESENT HAD AGREED TO HOLD THEIR BUDGET DOWN. HE SAID THE \$20, 496 REQUESTED IN THE LAW SUIT WAS FOR A FIELD MAN. COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD SAID HE CONCURRED WITH THE CLERK ON NOT SPENDING MONEY FOR ATTORNEY FEES.

COMMISSIONER MELVIN QUESTIONED IF MR. STONE HAD SENT WRITTEN CORRESPONDENCE TO THE CLERK OR THE BOARD OBJECTING TO \$150,496 FUNDING? CLERK WISE RESPONDED NO AND SAID HE WAS NOT AWARE UNTIL A FEW DAYS AGO THAT MR. STONE WAS DISSATISFIED.

COMMISSIONER BOWDEN STATED HE WAS NOT ON THE BOARD DURING THE BUDGET HEARINGS, BUT WAS PRESENT AT THE MEETING WHEN MR. STONE AGREED TO THE FUNDING PROPOSED IN THE BUDGET. HE SAID HE CONCURRED WITH THE CLERK AND CHAIRMAN'S RECOMMENDATION NOT TO EXPEND FUNDS ON AN ATTORNEY.

THE BOARD WAS POLLED AND BY CONSENSUS AGREED FOR THE CHAIRMAN AND CLERK ANSWER THE SUIT IN LIEU OF PAYING AN ATTORNEY.

COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD REPORTED THAT THE BOARD HAD REQUESTED ALVIN ROBERTS TO OBTAIN AN APPROXIMATE PRICE FOR ROOFING MATERIALS NEEDED FOR ROOFING OF NEMOURS CHILDRENS CLINIC AND AS REQUESTED HAD PRESENTED AN APPROXIMATE COST OF \$5000.00.

THE FOLLOWING PERSONS WERE PRESENT FOR THE JOINT MEETING BETWEEN THE BCC, IDA AND ARPC.

STEVE YODER, IDA BOBBY O'BRYAN, IDA MARSHA HARPOOL, ARPC TOM ROBERTS, ARPC ATTORNEY CLAUDE REEVES, CO-OP

JOE TAYLOR UPDATED THE BOARD AND AUDIENCE ON WHAT HAD TRANSPIRED TO THIS DATE ON THE AG PARK.

MR. TOM ROBERTS DISCUSSED THE CONFLICT OF INTEREST ON HIS PART IF THE COUNTY SHOULD WANT TO HOLD ARPC RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY PROBLEMS AT THE AG PARK. NO BOARD MEMBER EXPRESSED DISSATISFACTION WITH ARPC'S PERFORMANCE REGARDING THE AG PARK.

MR. ROBERTS EXPRESSED HIS OPINION THAT THE RELEASE WITH BDE SHOULD NOT BE SIGNED. DISCUSSION FOLLOWED BETWEEN THE BOARD AND MR. ROBERTS ON THE WISDOM OF SIGNING THE RELEASE.

DURING DISCUSSION IT WAS REPORTED THAT IN THE ORIGINAL SPECIFICATION A 250,000 GPD WASTE WATER TREATMENT PLANT WAS CALLED FOR.

MR. STEVE YODER REPORTED THAT LARRY MCGLOTHLIN AND GERALD WARD OF BDE HAD STATED TO IDA MEMBERS THAT AN OFFICE WOULD BE LOCATED IN BLOUNTSTOWN.

BOBBY O'BRYAN REPORTED THAT ELLA BROWN, BDE, HAD STATED THAT A WWTP COULD BE DESIGNED TO HANDLE THE ENTIRE AG PARK(SPRAY FIELDS WOULD BE USED.) WHEN SPRAY FIELDS WERE DENIED, BDE THEN DESIGNED THE WWTP AROUND THE CATFISH PROCESSING PLANT, NOT THE ENTIRE 200 ACRE PARK. MR. O'BRYAN ALSO REPORTED BDE DID A REPORT THAT SHOWED THE SOIL COULD HANDLE THE PERKING FOR A PLANT TO HANDLE THE 200 ACRES. HE REPORTED THE SOIL INVESTIGATION SHOWED THAT IT COULD NOT BE DONE.

STEVE YODER STATED THAT HE HAD QUESTIONED BDE CONSTANTLY WHETHER A PLANT COULD BE BUILT BUT BDE ASSURED HIM THAT IT COULD.

MR. ROBERTS REPORTED THAT HE WOULD DEVELOP A TRAIL IN ORDER TO RESPOND TO BDE.

MS. HARPOOL REPORTED THAT SINKS WOULD HAVE TO BE INSTALLED IN THE BUILDING DUE TO THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE INSPECTION. SHE SAID THIS IS A CDBG GRANT REQUIREMENT. CLAUDE REEVES REPORTED THAT THE SINK (3-COMPARTMENT) IS REQUIRED.

MS. HARPOOL RELAYED THAT AA HANDICAPPED RAMP WOULD NEED TO BE BUILT, SHE SAID IT WAS INADVERTLY CUT BY CHARLES A. GASKIN IN THE RE-DESIGN AND AT THIS THE RAMP IS NOT IN THE CONTRACT. MS. HARPOOL SAID THAT THERE WAS APPROXIMATELY \$8,000.00 IN THE GRANT FOR OFFICE EQUIPMENT AND THAT SHE HAS BEEN APPROACHED BY THE CO-OP FOR THEM TO USE THE COUNTY TAX EXEMPT # AND TO USE THIS MONEY FOR DOWN PAYMENTS AND ENTER INTO LEASE AGREEMENTS. DISCUSSION FOLLOWED AS TO WHETHER EQUIPMENT WOULD BE INVENTORIED.

COMMISSIONER BOWDEN MOVED TO ADVERTISE FOR TELEPHONE SYSTEM FOR AG

이번 운영과 승규가

. e

5.U - E E

PARK. 2ND COMMISSIONER MELVIN MOTION CARRIED

MS. HARPOOL PRESENTED BILL FROM CAPPS WELL DRILLING FOR \$300.00. IT WAS APPROVED BY BCC FOR PAYMENT.

DISCUSSION ENSUED ON ROTO-STRAINER HOOK-UP. IT WAS REQUESTED THAT MR. YODER SET UP A MEETING WITH CHARLES A. GASKIN, GREG PREBLE, AND MARSHA HARPOOL TO DISCUSS THE HOOK-UP.

MR. REEVES STATED THAT THE CO-OP WOULD PRESENT TO THE IDA BOARD A PROPOSAL TO RUN THE WWTP.

MS. BARBARA DAVIS, TAX COLLECTOR, REPORTED ON SUIT BY DOT, T.C. RAY AND HEIR PROPERTY, FOR RIGHT OF WAY. SHE REQUESTED THE COUNTY ATTORNEY ANSWER THE SUIT. THE BOARD REQUESTED THAT MS. DAVIS STATE HER POSITION IN A LETTER AND ALSO TO GET A LETTER FROM FRANK STONE, PROPERTY APPRAISER STATING THE DOCUMENTS.

THERE BEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS, THE BOARD DID ADJOURN THE SPECIAL BOARD MEETING.

ATTEST:

100

WILLIE/D. WISE, CLERK

CALHOUN COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

3 RU S. DONNELL WHITFIELD, CHAIRMAN

17 S-935 (F)

THERE AND

 $\left[\left(b_{i}^{2} - b_{i}^{2} \right) \right] = \left[b_{i}^{2} + c_{i}^{2} \right] \left[\left(b_{i}^{2} - b_{i}^{2} \right) \right] = \left[b_{i}^{2} + c_{i}^{2} \right] \left[\left(b_{i}^{2} - b_{i}^{2} \right) \right] \left[\left(b_{i}^{2} - b_{i}^{2} \right) \right] \right] = \left[b_{i}^{2} + c_{i}^{2} \right] \left[\left(b_{i}^{2} - b_{i}^{2} \right) \right] \left[\left(b_{i}^{$

1997 - 1997 - 1997 1997 - 1997 - 1997 1997 - 1997 - 1997